

[C-3] A BRIEF SUMMARY OF SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE AGAINST EVOLUTION

{Updated 7/14/2016}

Evolution itself is an anti-God, anti-science, fatalistic philosophy. It was dreamed up by an apostate divinity student with **NO** scientific background {Charles Darwin} who wanted to come up with some other explanation for the existence of life **without** God.

The very foundation of evolution is the assumption that **all things happen by pure chance** and that by chance the right chemicals came together in the right way to form life. **Science is based on the assumption that there is a reason for everything and that all things happen according to rules of nature that can be determined by careful examination** {i.e. nothing happens by pure chance}. This means that **evolution is anti-scientific by its very nature. It is in fact a philosophy of life NOT properly speaking a scientific theory. It must be believed on faith**, since scientific evidence does not support it -- **which means that it is also a religious conviction not science.**

Evolution is in fact a **fatalistic philosophy** which carried to its logical conclusion is this: **There is no reason for anything, everything happens by pure chance; therefore there is no purpose for anything -- so if things aren't going your way in life, the best thing to do is end your life by suicide and get it over with since there's nothing after life anyway.**

The only reason the theory of evolution is still around is due to the fact that some people do not want to believe the Bible is true **because of their life style**. {**Acknowledging that there is a purpose** for everything and established laws of nature **implies that there is a Creator** Who established those laws and **Who will hold us accountable** for our actions.}

1. Evolutionist would have you believe that at some time in the past, chemical randomly came together and started life. Modern microbiology has well documented the fact that even the simplest life form is extremely complex, with chromosomes, genes, DNA, RNA, proteins, amino acids, and on and on that all must be in the exact correct order and put together in an exact way in order for life to exist. Not only that, but as anyone who has ever been present when a friend or loved one died very well knows – merely having all the right chemicals, and genetic material in the correct form and order does not constitute life. When a person dies the DNA, RNA, chromosomes, amino acids, genes, their order and form is the same after death as they were before death. Autopsies often check DNA of the dead for identification and the DNA can be tested long after the body is dead, yet even though all the right chemicals are in the right place doesn't

change the fact that the body which was alive is dead and no force on earth can bring it back to life. Once the **soul** has left the body, the body is **DEAD**. Whether a person believes in a soul or not does not change that **FACT**.

2. Evolution is a direct contradiction of the Second Law of Thermodynamics (All processes, chemical and physical tend to increasing disorder.) [Laws of science are mathematically and experimentally established facts to which no exception has ever been found. If a single exception is found -- it is not a Law of science!!]

3. Experiments by Gregor Mendell and modern experiments with the fruit fly all show that although changes within a given specie may occur, any "new" specie developed either by hybridization or mutation is always sterile. The mule is a shining example.

4. Genetics teaches that acquired traits cannot be passed on to offspring.

5. Fossils of fish in the Eocene strata on the Pacific coast, 700 - 800 feet above sea level, 30 - 40 miles from the beach, and fossils of algae and crayfish assumed to be 300 million years old, and fossils of grasshoppers in the Grasshopper Glacier in Montana, all show that no evolution has taken place in any of these species.

6. If evolution ever went on in the past it should still be going on today, but of all the uncountable trillions of protozoa studied by scientists through the years none has ever been found to show any signs of evolution.

7. Paleontology (study of fossils) reveals that in every case different species degenerate instead of evolve -- in complete agreement with the laws of Thermodynamics. [Evolutionists often argue that degeneration is the same as evolution. This may sound good philosophically, but it is scientifically untrue. If it were the same we could invent a machine which produces more energy than it uses. This would violate the second law of thermodynamics.]

8. Paleontology also reveals that **the so-called "geological column" does not exist anywhere in the world**. If evolution were true, the geological column should be the rule, not the exception. In actual fact, fragments of the column are found here and there, but **no consistent geological column has ever been found anywhere!** In fact, fossils from all "geological ages" are often mixed together in the same geological stratum {referred to as fossil graveyards}. As Dr. Henry Morris and John Whitcomb demonstrated in the 1960's, the layering of the fossil record is better explained by a universal flood, and the laws of physics -- hydrology and buoyancy, than by "geological ages."

9. The eruption of Mt. St. Helens in the 1980's demonstrates that the layering of the earth is better explained by catastrophism than geological ages. A layered canyon 1/40th the size of the Grand Canyon was formed in a matter of days!

10. Coal which evolutionists hold takes millions of years to form was formed on the bottom of the lake at St. Helens within a couple of months of it's eruption.

11. Fossils in nature are the exception, not the rule. In nature when an organism dies it decays and only rarely forms a fossil. In order for fossilization to occur, an organism must be buried and the air flow restricted to the decay process while minerals seep into the dead organism. The fact that fossils are found everywhere on the surface of the earth, even atop the highest mountains is better explained by a universal flood than by any other explanation ever conceived of.

12. The earth's atmosphere is known to be in a state of radioactive non-equilibrium (in fact it is not even close to being in equilibrium). This was conclusively proven in the early 1960's by scientists measuring radiation in the earth's atmosphere because they were afraid radiation would be a risk to astronauts. Mathematical models based on the atmosphere's makeup and radiation coming in from the sun demonstrate that this equilibrium should be attained in approximately 30,000 years.

13. All radioactive dating methods when adjusted for the fact that the earth's atmosphere is nowhere close to being in radioactive equilibrium always yield an age of less than 10,000 years for the age of the earth. All carbon-14 dates assume the equilibrium was reached long ago but this is scientifically false. The error of all radioactive dates goes up exponentially each half life of the radioactive substance used.

14. The earth's magnetic field has been constantly decaying at a consistent rate since records have been kept beginning in the 1800's. By back extrapolation of the earth's magnetic field decay rate we can verify that beyond 10,000 years the gaussian {magnetic} forces would generate such heat as to make the earth uninhabitable to life as we know it. (Supposed reversals in the magnetic field in no way affect the strength of that field.)

15. Dating methods measuring the solar dust that settles into our earth's atmosphere each year demonstrate that the earth is very young. Scientists designed huge pods on the lunar landers for fear of the landers sinking into deep dust which supposedly had accumulated over millions of years. When astronauts actually landed on the surface of the moon the dust was only a couple of inches deep.

16. Evolutionists hold that life consists of physical and chemical reactions, yet science clearly demonstrates that the laws of physics and chemistry rule out any possibility of evolution.

17. Science states that matter and energy must be conserved and can neither be created nor destroyed. [First Law of Thermodynamics.]

18. Modern Biogenetic research has demonstrated millions of times over what Louis Pasteur stated over a hundred years ago: "Life does not come from non-living sources." Putting proteins, or genes together, even in the right order does not create life! We have also discovered that **the genetic codes found in DNA and RNA are not sufficient for life. DNA and RNA cannot "live" outside a cell membrane.** This means that DNA

and RNA have to come into being inside a cell membrane all at once for life to exist. You can't create DNA then create RNA then create a cell membrane and put it all together and have life!

19. The simplest life form is infinitely more complex than the most complicated, sophisticated device ever made by mankind. The mathematical probability that even the lowest life form happened by chance is astronomically beyond our comprehension. It is hard to imagine that anyone could believe that a computer could simply come into existence by pure chance, yet the simplest of life forms is far more complex than any computer in existence.

20. Modern biogenetics now enables us to examine DNA, RNA and genetic structures in ways that were not even possible before the new millennium. We can genetically do detailed maps of genes and yes even clone animals. One of the things we discover in all of this is that every part of every specie is clear and distinct and peculiar to that specie. The blood of a human for, example, is not the same as the blood of an ape, or a dog, or any other animal. The bones of a human are not the same genetically as the bones of an ape. To an evolutionist, the fact that humans resemble apes is proof of evolution, but genetically, even the bone structure which allows a man to walk upright is not the same as the bone structure of an ape. Every aspect of every specie is unique to that specie--- and modern biogenetics has proven that beyond a shadow of a doubt. Chromosome counts of species prove beyond a doubt that the so called evolutionary path is totally invalid. There is no scientific evolutionary path that corresponds genetically by species, size, or even by animal or plant kingdom. {A donkey has 62 chromosomes, man has 46 -- **who descended from whom?**} For more on this subject see my link to:

www.pathlights.com

For more information see my links to creation evidences websites on my web site at: <http://www.thewordnotes.com>.

Evolutionists try to make us believe that some hodgepodge of chemicals struck by lightning created the first living cells, but any child who has had elementary science knows that **lightning DESTROYS life**, not creates it.

Whatever else may be said about evolution, **it is but a fairy tale**. A frog changing to a prince with a kiss from a fair maiden is a fairy tale -- whether it takes place instaneously or over millions of years. It is physically, chemically, and biologically impossible.